UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ANDREW KUZNYETSOV, CHARLES BOAL AND MARTHANN HEILMAN

Plaintiffs,

v.

WEST PENN ALLEGHENY HEALTH SYSTEM, INC., THE WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA **HEALTHCARE** SYSTEM, INC., **ALLE-KISKI** MEDICAL CENTER, **ALLEGHENY GENERAL** HOSPITAL, ALLEGHENY GENERAL HOSPITAL -SUBURBAN CAMPUS, CANONSBURG GENERAL THE WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA HOSPITAL. HOSPITAL, CHRISTOPHER T. OLIVIA, JOHN RETIREMENT PLAN **FOR** LASKY AND WEST PENN ALLEGHENY **EMPLOYEES** OF HEALTH SYSTEM,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT- CLASS ACTION AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Civil	Δ.	·+i/	'n
• .1371	AC	'T 17	m

NATURE OF CLAIM

1. This is a proceeding for injunctive and declaratory relief and monetary damages to redress the deprivation of rights secured to plaintiffs, Andrew Kuznyetsov, Charles Boal and Marthann Heilman individually, as well as all other employees similarly situated ("Class Members"), under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq. ("FLSA"); and under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA") 29 U.S.C. § 1001 et seq., and under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations ("RICO") Act, 18 U.S.C. § 1961 et seq.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331, 28 U.S.C. §1343 (3) and (4) conferring original jurisdiction upon this Court of any civil action

to recover damages or to secure equitable relief under any Act of Congress providing for the protection of civil rights; under 28 U.S.C. § 1337 conferring jurisdiction of any civil action arising under any Act of Congress regulating interstate commerce; and under the Declaratory Judgment Statute, 28 U.S.C. § 2201, under 29 U.S.C. §216 (b), and under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(a) and (c).

3. Venue is appropriate in the Western District of Pennsylvania since the allegations arose in this district and the Plaintiffs reside in this district.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 4. The claims arising under ERISA and RICO are properly maintainable as a class action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.
- 5. The class action is maintainable under subsections (1), (2) and (3) of Rule 23(b).
- 6. The class consists of current and former employees of defendants whose 401(k) plans were not credited with their non-reduced weekly wages and correct overtime compensation. Additionally, the class consists of current and former employees of defendants who were injured by defendants' scheme to cheat employees out of their property and to convert the employees' property, including their wages and/or overtime pay, by misleading employees about their rights under the FLSA.
 - 7. The class size is believed to be over 12,000 employees.
- 8. The Plaintiffs will adequately represent the interests of the Class Members because they are similarly situated to the Class members and their claims are typical of, and concurrent to, the claims of the other Class Members.
 - 9. There are no known conflicts of interest between the Plaintiffs and the other

Class Members.

- 10. The class counsel, Dolin, Thomas & Solomon LLP, is qualified and able to litigate the Plaintiffs' and Class Members' claims.
- 11. The class counsel concentrates its practice in employment litigation, and its attorneys are experienced in class action litigation, including class actions arising under federal wage and hour laws.
- 12. Common questions of law and fact predominate in this action because the claims of all Plaintiffs and Class Members are based on whether defendants' policy of not crediting employees with their non-reduced weekly wages and correct overtime compensation is a violation of ERISA or was part of a scheme to defraud Plaintiffs in violation of RICO.
- 13. The class action is maintainable under subsections (2) and (3) of Rule 23(b) because the Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief, common questions of law and fact predominate among the Plaintiffs and Class Members and the class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

PARTIES

A. Defendants

14. Collectively, defendants West Penn Allegheny Health System, Inc., The Western Pennsylvania Healthcare System, Inc., Alle-Kiski Medical Center, Allegheny General Hospital, Allegheny General Hospital – Suburban Campus, Canonsburg General Hospital, The Western Pennsylvania Hospital, Christopher T. Olivia, John Lasky and Retirement Plan for Employees of West Penn Allegheny Health System, (collectively, "Named Defendants") are related organizations through, for example, common membership, governing bodies, trustees and/or officers and benefit plans.

- Named Defendants health care facilities and centers include the following: 15. Allegheny General Hospital in Pittsburgh, Allegheny General Hospital Suburban Campus in Bellevue, Alle-Kiski Medical Center in Natrona Heights, Canonsburg General Hospital in Washington County, The Western Pennsylvania Hospital - Forbes Regional Campus in Monroeville, The Western Pennsylvania Hospital in Pittsburgh, Forbes Hospice in Pittsburgh, WPAHS Primary Care Network, Alle-Kiski Medical Associates, Alle-Kiski Women's Health, Allegheny Valley Internal Medicine, Barry Segal, MD, Bellevue Pediatric Associates, Burrell Internal Medicine, Canonsburg Community Healthcare Center, Center for Family Health Care, Century Medical Associates, Century III Medical Associates, Corkery, Heise, Dainesi & Trapanotto, Crafton Medical Center, Creighton Medical Center, Dr. Francis J. Cavanaugh, MD, Dr. Mehernosh Khan, East End Medical Associates, East Suburban OB/GYN, Family Nurse Midwives, Ferlan Group, Friendship Medical Associates, Fuge Family Practice, Green Tree Medical Associates, Health Center Associates, Hussaini Medical Associates, Mamatas-Ragoor Primary Care Associates, Monroeville Medical Associates, Murthy, M.H.V., MD, Natrona Heights OB/GYN Inc., North Versailles Medical Associates, Paul Reilly, MD, Penn Hills Medical Associates, Pennsylvania Comprehensive Care Associates, Pine Hollow Medical Associates, Pine Richland Medical Associates, Primary Care Northside, Primary Care South, Riad Saradar, MD, Robert Baraff, MD, Sterling Medical Associates, United Physicians, Waterdam Medical Associates, West Penn Family Practice, West View Family Health Associates, Wexford Medical Practice, Wexford Weight Loss, Allegheny Singer Research Institute, Citizens School of Nursing and The Western Pennsylvania Hospital School of Nursing (collectively "Health Centers").
 - 16. Named Defendants affiliated health care facilities and centers include the

following: West Penn Allegheny Eye Associates, P.C., West Penn Allegheny Foundation, LLC, West Penn Allegheny Health System, Inc. (Corp.), West Penn Allegheny Health System, Inc. (Non Profit Corp.), West Penn Allegheny Oncology Network, West Penn Allegheny Physicians, West Penn Allegheny Physicians, LLC, West Penn Allegheny Senior Care, West Penn Physician Practice Network, Allegheny Imaging of Robinson, LLC, The Western Pennsylvania Burn Foundation, The Western Pennsylvania Research Institute, Allegheny Medical Practice Network, Allegheny Specialty Practice Network, Associated Surgeons of Western Pennsylvania, Associated Surgeons of Western Pennsylvania P.C., Bellevue Medical Associates, Burn Care Associates, Ltd, Cabot Medical Center, Commonwealth Realty Company, Diagnostic Ultrasound Research & Education, Inc., East End Medical Associates, East Suburban Family Practice, Endovascular Associates Of Western Pennsylvania, LLC, Family Nurse Midwives, Francis L. Debabo M.D., Hampton Medical Center, HLN, Inc., Irwin Medical Center, Irwin Primary Care Associates, Mamatas-Ragoor-Khan Primary Care Associates, Markle, C. P. Associates, McDonald Primary Care, Meadowlands Primary Care, Medical Center Clinic, P.C., Meta-Hilberg Hematology Oncology Associates, Inc, Pediatric & Neonatal Associates, Pediatric And Neonatal Associates, Inc., Penn Hills Medical Associates, Pittsburgh Cardio Thoracic Associates, Pittsburgh Cardiothoracic Associates, Pittsburgh Imaging Associates, LLC;, Plum Medical Associates, Primary Care South, Radiology Associates of Western Pennsylvania, P.C., Sessoms, Frank E. M.D., Inc., Strategic Provider Area Network, Inc., The Western Pennsylvania Hospital Skilled Nursing Facility, The Western Pennsylvania Hospital, Forbes Regional Campus, The Western Pennsylvania Hospital, Three Rivers Imaging Associates, P.C., Tri-County Cardiology, Tri-County Cardiology, Inc., Vascular Center of Western Pennsylvania, Inc., Waterdam Medical Associates, West Penn Breast Surgery Practice, West Penn Comprehensive Health Care, P.C., West Penn Corporate Medical Services, Inc., West Penn Internal Medicine Associates, West Penn Physicians' Organization, West Penn Plastic And Reconstructive Surgery, West Penn Plastic Surgery, West Penn Radiology Associates, Inc., West Penn Specialty MSO, Inc., Western Pennsylvania Cancer Institute, The Western Pennsylvania Cardiovascular Institute, Western Pennsylvania Diagnostic Clinic, The Western Pennsylvania Healthcare System Integrated Delivery Corporation, The Western Pennsylvania Healthcare System, Inc., Western Pennsylvania Heart Plan, Inc., Western Pennsylvania Hospital Surgical Alumni Association, The Western Pennsylvania Hospital, The Western Pennsylvania Hospital Foundation, Western Pennsylvania Ob/Gyn Associates, The Western Pennsylvania Physician Hospital Organization, The Western Pennsylvania Residents' Association, Doctors Home Care of Pittsburgh, Penn Surgical, Inc., West Penn Audio-Vestibular Laboratory, West Penn Breast Surgery Practice, West Penn Cardiology Associates, P.C., West Penn Corporate Medical Services, Inc., West Penn Ear, Nose & Throat Specialists, West Penn Family Practice, West Penn Gastroenterology Association, West Penn Heart Group, P.C., West Penn Healthcare Solutions, LLC, West Penn Hospital Healthsouth Outpatient Rehabilitation Center, West Penn Internal Medicine Associates, West Penn Ob/Gyn Associates, P.C., West Penn Physician Practice Network, West Penn Physicians' Association, West Penn Radiology Associates, Inc., West Penn Surgical Supply Co. and West Penn Urology, Inc. (collectively, "Affiliates").

- 17. Together the Named Defendants, the Health Centers and the Affiliates are referred to as "West Penn" or "defendants".
 - 18. West Penn is an enterprise engaged in the operation of a hospital and/or the

care of the sick and is a healthcare consortium.

- 19. Defendants operate over 56 health care facilities and centers and employ approximately 12,000 individuals.
- 20. Defendants constitute an integrated, comprehensive, consolidated health care delivery system, offering a wide range of services.
- 21. For example, defendants have centralized supply chain management, financial, computer, payroll and health records systems that are integrated throughout their locations.
- 22. Further, upon information and belief, defendants' labor relations and human resources are centrally organized and controlled, including defendants' employment of a Vice President of Human Resources as part of the management team as well as the maintenance of system-wide policies and certain employee benefit plans.
- 23. Upon information and belief, defendants share common management, including oversight and management by a senior executive team and board of directors.
 - 24. Upon information and belief, defendants have common ownership.
- 25. As such, defendants are the employer (single, joint or otherwise) of the Plaintiffs and Class Members and/or alter egos of each other.
 - 26. Christopher T. Olivia is the President and CEO of West Penn.
- 27. Upon information and belief, including defendants' admissions, Christopher T. Olivia responsibilities include actively managing West Penn.
- 28. Upon information and belief, in concert with others, Christopher T. Olivia has the authority to, and does, make decisions that concern the policies defendants adopt and the implementation of those policies.
 - 29. Upon information and belief, in concert with others, Christopher T. Olivia has

the authority to, and does, make decisions that concern defendants' operations, including functions related to employment, human resources, training, payroll, and benefits.

- 30. Upon information and belief, due in part to his role as President, Christopher T. Olivia is actively involved in the creation of the illegal policies complained of in this case.
- 31. Upon information and belief, due in part to his role as President, Christopher T. Olivia actively advises defendants' agents on the enforcement of the illegal policies complained of in this case.
- 32. Upon information and belief, due in part to his role as President, Christopher T. Olivia actively ensures defendants' compliance or non-compliance with federal law, including the requirements of the FLSA, ERISA and RICO.
- 33. Upon information and belief, in concert with others, Christopher T. Olivia has the authority to, and does, make decisions that concern the reviewing and counseling of defendants regarding employment decisions, including hiring and firing of Plaintiffs.
- 34. Upon information and belief, in concert with others, Christopher T. Olivia has the authority to, and does, make decisions that concern employees' schedules, hours and standard benefit levels.
- 35. Upon information and belief, Christopher T. Olivia has the authority to, and does, make decisions that concern standard pay scales.
- 36. Upon information and belief, Christopher T. Olivia has the authority to, and does, make decisions that concern defendants' human resources policies, the resolution issues and disputes regarding policies and their applications, the counsel locations receive regarding human resources issues, and communications with employees about human resources issues and policies.

- 37. Upon information and belief, Christopher T. Olivia has the authority to, and does, make decisions that concern defendants' employment and human resources records, including the systems for keeping and maintaining those records.
- 38. Upon information and belief, Christopher T. Olivia has the authority to, and does, make decisions that concern training and education functions across West Penn.
- 39. Upon information and belief, Christopher T. Olivia has the authority to, and does, make decisions that concern the type and scope of training employees must attend as well as any compensation they receive for attending training.
- 40. Upon information and belief, Christopher T. Olivia has the authority to, and does, make decisions that concern payroll functions across West Penn.
- 41. Upon information and belief, Christopher T. Olivia has the authority to, and does, make decisions that concern the system for keeping and maintaining employees' payroll records, the timing and method with which payment is conveyed to employees, and the manner and method in which employees receive payroll information including their payroll checks.
- 42. Upon information and belief, Christopher T. Olivia has the authority to, and does, make decisions that concern benefit plans across West Penn.
- 43. Upon information and belief, Christopher T. Olivia has the authority to, and does, make decisions that concern the type and scope of benefits available to employees, the method and manner in which information regarding those plans is conveyed to employees, and the system for keeping and maintaining records related to employees' benefits.
- 44. Because Christopher T. Olivia has authority to hire or fire employees, provide and direct support regarding human resources issues, including the hiring and firing of

Plaintiffs, and control the drafting and enforcement of the policies which govern the hiring and firing of employees, Christopher T. Olivia has the power to hire and fire employees.

- 45. Because Christopher T. Olivia has authority to establish work schedules and/or conditions of employment, provide and direct support regarding human resources issues, including work schedules and/or conditions of employment, control the drafting and enforcement of the policies which govern employees' schedules and/or conditions of employment, establish the type and scope of training employees receive, and administer employees' benefit programs, including standard benefit levels and the type and scope of benefits available to employees, Christopher T. Olivia supervises and controls employees' work schedules and/or conditions of employment.
- 46. Because Christopher T. Olivia has authority to establish employees' rate and method of payment and centrally control payroll functions, including standard pay scales, the provision of payroll information, and the timing of payment, Christopher T. Olivia determines the rate and method of employees' payment.
- 47. Because Christopher T. Olivia has authority with respect to defendants' centralized records, including a database regarding employees' employment records, and systems for keeping and maintaining payroll, benefits, and other employment-related records, Christopher T. Olivia maintains employees' employment records.
- 48. Because Christopher T. Olivia provides day-to-day support regarding human resources issues, including employees' work schedules and/or conditions of employment, controls the drafting and enforcement of the policies which govern employees' schedules and/or conditions of employment, and administers employees' benefit programs, he is affirmatively, directly, and actively involved in operations of the defendants' business

functions, particularly in regards to the employment of Plaintiffs.

- 49. Because Christopher T. Olivia is actively involved in the creation of the illegal policies complained of in this case, actively advises defendants' agents on the enforcement of the illegal policies complained of in this case and actively ensures defendants' compliance or non-compliance with federal law, including the requirements of the FLSA, ERISA and RICO, he actively participates in the violations complained of in this action.
- 50. Based upon the foregoing, Christopher T. Olivia is liable to Plaintiffs because of his active role in operating the business, his status as an employer, and/or according to federal law.
 - 51. John Lasky is the Vice President of Human Resources of West Penn.
- 52. Upon information and belief, John Lasky is responsible for, provides direction and control over, and is authorized to direct all aspects of human resources functions across West Penn.
- 53. Upon information and belief, due in part to his role of overseeing human resources, training and education, and payroll and commission services, in concert with others, John Lasky is actively involved in the creation of the illegal policies complained of in this case.
- 54. Upon information and belief, due in part to his role of overseeing human resources, training and education, and payroll and commission services, in concert with others, John Lasky actively advises defendants' agents on the enforcement of the illegal policies complained of in this case.
- 55. Upon information and belief, due in part to his role of overseeing human resources, training and education, and payroll and commission services, in concert with

others, John Lasky actively ensures defendants' compliance or non-compliance with federal law, including the requirements of the FLSA, ERISA and RICO.

- 56. Upon information and belief, John Lasky, is actively involved in reviewing and counseling defendants regarding employment decisions, including hiring and firing of Plaintiffs.
- 57. Upon information and belief, John Lasky, is actively involved in decisions that set employees' schedules, hours and standard benefit levels.
- 58. Upon information and belief, John Lasky, is actively involved in decisions that set standard pay scales.
- 59. Upon information and belief, John Lasky, is actively involved in the determination and drafting of human resources policies, the resolution of issues and disputes regarding policies and their application, the counseling locations receive regarding human resources issues, and communications with employees about human resources issues and policies.
- 60. Upon information and belief, John Lasky, is actively involved in defendants' employment and human resources records, including the systems for keeping and maintaining those records.
- 61. Upon information and belief, John Lasky, is actively involved in training and education functions across West Penn.
- 62. Upon information and belief, John Lasky, is actively involved in determining the type and scope of training employees must attend as well as any compensation they receive for attending training.
 - 63. Upon information and belief, John Lasky, is actively involved in payroll

functions across West Penn.

- 64. Upon information and belief, John Lasky, is actively involved in the system for keeping and maintaining employees' payroll records, the timing and method with which payment is conveyed to employees, and the manner and method in which employees receive payroll information including their payroll checks.
- 65. Upon information and belief, John Lasky, is actively involved in benefit plans across West Penn.
- 66. Upon information and belief, John Lasky, is actively involved in determining the type and scope of benefits available to employees, the method and manner in which information regarding those plans is conveyed to employees, and the system for keeping and maintaining records related to employees' benefits.
- 67. Because John Lasky has authority to hire or fire employees, provide and direct support regarding human resources issues, including the hiring and firing of employees, and control the drafting and enforcement of the policies which govern the hiring and firing of employees, John Lasky has the power to hire and fire employees.
- 68. Because John Lasky has authority to establish work schedules and/or conditions of employment, provide and direct support regarding human resources issues, including work schedules and/or conditions of employment, control the drafting and enforcement of the policies which govern employees' schedules and/or conditions of employment, establish the type and scope of training employees receive, and administer employees' benefit programs, including standard benefit levels and the type and scope of benefits available to employees, John Lasky supervises and controls employees' work schedules and/or conditions of employment.

- 69. Because John Lasky has authority to establish employees' rate and method of payment and centrally control payroll functions, including standard pay scales, the provision of payroll information, and the timing of payment, John Lasky determines the rate and method of employees' payment.
- 70. Because John Lasky has authority with respect to defendants' centralized records, including a database regarding employees' employment records, and systems for keeping and maintaining payroll, benefits, and other employment-related records, John Lasky maintains employees' employment records.
- 71. Because John Lasky provides day-to-day support regarding human resources issues, including employees' work schedules and/or conditions of employment, controls the drafting and enforcement of the policies which govern employees' schedules and/or conditions of employment, and administers employees' benefit programs, he is affirmatively, directly, and actively involved in operations of defendants' business functions, particularly in regards to the employment of Plaintiffs.
- 72. Because John Lasky is actively involved in the creation of the illegal policies complained of in this case, actively advises defendants' agents on the enforcement of the illegal policies complained of in this case and actively ensures defendants' compliance or non-compliance with federal law, including the requirements of the FLSA, ERISA and RICO, John Lasky actively participates in the violations complained of in this action.
- 73. Based upon the foregoing, John Lasky is liable to Plaintiffs because of his active role in operating the business, his role in the violations complained of in this action, his status as an employer, and/or otherwise according to federal and state law.
 - 74. Upon information and belief, defendants maintain ERISA plans known as the

West Penn 401A Retirement Savings Plan, West Penn 403B Retirement Savings Plan and West Penn Basic Retirement Plan.

B. Plaintiffs

Named Plaintiffs

75. At all relevant times, Andrew Kuznyetsov, Charles Boal and Marthann Heilman ("Plaintiffs") were employees under the FLSA, employed within this District and reside within this District.

Class Members

76. The Class Members are those employees of defendants who were suffered or permitted to work by defendants and not paid their regular or statutorily required rate of pay for all hours worked.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 77. West Penn is one of the largest health care providers in Western Pennsylvania.
- 78. As discussed below, defendants maintained several illegal pay policies that denied Plaintiffs and Class Members compensation for all hours worked, including applicable premium pay rates.

Meal Break Deduction Policy

- 79. Pursuant to defendants' "Meal Break Deduction Policy," defendants computerized time-keeping system automatically deducts one half-hour from employees' paychecks each day for a meal break even though defendants fail to ensure that employees were relieved from duty for a meal break and employees did in fact perform work during those breaks and were not paid for that time.
 - 80. Defendants maintain the Meal Break Deduction Policy throughout its

facilities and centers.

- 81. Plaintiffs and Class Members allow defendants to operate on a 24/7 basis, and in doing so, Plaintiffs and Class Members often perform compensable work for defendants during their uncompensated meal breaks.
- 82. Defendants do not prohibit Plaintiffs and Class Members from working during their meal breaks and do not have rules against such work.
- 83. Although the defendants' policy deducts 30 minutes of pay each shift, defendants expect Plaintiffs and Class Members to be available to work throughout their shifts and consistently requires its employees to work during their unpaid meal breaks.
- 84. Plaintiffs and Class Members are not relieved by another employee when their break comes, or asked to leave their work location.
- 85. Plaintiffs and Class Members are expected to eat without any change in demands from patients or relief by additional staff.
- 86. Further, all defendants' employees are required to respond to pages whether on break or not, as well as requests by patients, co-workers and management.
- 87. Further, defendants for years have been reducing staffing which imposes larger and larger burdens on Plaintiffs and Class Members to immediately respond to the defendants' needs regardless of whether Plaintiffs and Class Members are on a "meal break."
- 88. Defendants know that Plaintiffs and Class Members perform work during their meal breaks.
- 89. For example, Plaintiffs and Class Members perform the work for the defendants, on defendants' premises, in plain sight, and at management's request.
 - 90. Defendants' management have repeatedly observed Plaintiffs and Class

Members working though their unpaid meal breaks. Defendants' management has gone so far as to direct Plaintiffs and Class Members to work during their unpaid meal breaks even though defendants' management knew that they would not be able to have a full meal break.

- 91. Plaintiffs and Class Members had conversations with defendants' managers in which they discussed how they were working through their meal periods and were not getting paid for such work.
- 92. When questioned by employees about the Meal Break Deduction Policy, the defendants affirmatively stated that the employees could not be paid for such time, even though defendants knew such time was compensable.
- 93. Further, given the demands of the health care industry and short staffing, defendants' management knew that to get the tasks done they assigned to Plaintiffs and Class Members, when they needed to get done, Plaintiffs and Class Members had to work through their meal breaks even during times they were not paid for their meal breaks.
- 94. Even though defendants know its employees are performing such work, defendants fail to compensate their employees for such work.
- 95. All Plaintiffs and Class Members are subject to the Meal Break Deduction Policy and are not fully compensated for work they perform during breaks, including, without limitation, hourly employees working at West Penn's facilities and centers, such as secretaries, housekeepers, custodians, clerks, porters, registered nurses, licensed practical nurses, nurses aides, administrative assistants, anesthetists, clinicians, medical coders, medical underwriters, nurse case managers, nurse interns, nurse practitioners, nurses aides, practice supervisors, professional staff nurses, quality coordinators, resource pool nurses, respiratory therapists, senior research associates, operating room coordinators, surgical specialists,

admissions officers, student nurse techs, trainers, transcriptionists and other health care workers.

- 96. Employees are entitled to compensation for all time they performed work for defendants, including during their meal breaks.
- 97. In addition, if Plaintiffs' and Class Members' hours had been properly calculated, the time spent working during meal breaks often would included work that should have been calculated at applicable premium pay rates.
- 98. All Plaintiffs and Class Members subject to the Meal Break Deduction Policy are members of Subclass I.

Unpaid Preliminary and Postliminary Work Policy

- 99. West Penn suffered or permitted Plaintiffs and Class Members to perform work before and/or after the end of their scheduled shift.
- 100. However defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs and Class Members for all time spent performing such work as a result of defendants' policies, practices and/or time recording system (the "Unpaid Preliminary and Postliminary Work Policy").
- 101. In addition, if Plaintiffs' and Class Members' hours had been properly calculated, the time spent, performing work before and/or after their shifts often would have included work that should have been calculated at applicable premium pay rates.
- 102. All Plaintiffs and Class Members subject to the Unpaid Preliminary and Postliminary Work Policy are members of Subclass 2.

Unpaid Training Policy

103. Defendants also suffered or permitted Plaintiffs and Class Members to attend compensable training programs.

- 104. However, defendants fail to pay employees for all time spent attending such training sessions (the "Unpaid Training Policy").
- 105. In addition, if Plaintiffs' and Class Members hours had been properly calculated, the time spent attending training often would have included work that should have been calculated at applicable premium pay rates.
- 106. All Plaintiffs and Class Members subject to the Unpaid Training Policy are members of Subclass 3.
- 107. Collectively, the Meal Break Deduction Policy, the Unpaid Preliminary and Postliminary Work Policy, and the Unpaid Training Policy are referred to herein as the "Unpaid Work Policies."

Additional Allegations

- 108. Plaintiffs and Class Members were subject to defendants' time keeping policies which fail to ensure that employees are compensated for all hours worked, including pursuant to the Unpaid Work Policies.
- 109. Even though West Penn knows its employees are performing such work, West Penn fails to compensate its employees for such work
- 110. Defendants' practice is to be deliberately indifferent to these violations of the statutory wage and overtime requirements.
- 111. Through the paystubs and payroll information it provided to employees, West Penn deliberately concealed from its employees that they did not receive compensation for all the work they performed and mislead them into believing they were being paid properly.
- 112. Further, by maintaining and propagating the illegal Unpaid Work Policies, defendants deliberately misrepresented to Plaintiffs and Class Members that they were being

properly paid for time worked, even though Plaintiffs and Class Members were not receiving pay for all time worked including applicable premium pay.

- 113. The defendants engaged in such conduct and made such statements to conceal from the Plaintiffs and Class Members their rights and to frustrate the vindication of the employees' federal rights.
 - 114. As a result, employees were unaware of their claims.
- 115. Further, because of defendants' pay policies, defendants' improperly rounded Plaintiffs' and Class Members' hours of work.
 - 116. This failure to pay overtime as required by the FLSA is willful.
- 117. Defendants, however, at all times intended to violate applicable federal laws by failing to pay Plaintiffs and Class Members their regular or statutorily required rate of pay for all hours worked including applicable premium pay.
- 118. Among the relief sought, Plaintiffs and Class Members seek injunctive relief to prevent West Penn from continuing the illegal policies and practices perpetuated pursuant to the Unpaid Work Policies.
- 119. Defendants sponsor pension plans including Retirement Plan for Employees of West Penn Allegheny Health System (the "Plans") for its employees.
- 120. Defendants failed to keep accurate records of all time worked by Class Members. By failing to keep such records, defendants' records are legally insufficient to determine benefits.
- 121. Defendants failed to credit or even investigate crediting overtime pay as compensation used to determine benefits to the extent overtime may be included as compensation under the Plans. Defendants, while acting as fiduciaries exercising discretion

over the administration of the Plans, breached their duties to act prudently and solely in the interests of Plans' participants by failing to credit them with all of the hours of service for which they were entitled to be paid, including overtime to the extent overtime may be included as compensation under than Plans, or to investigate whether such hours should be credited. Under ERISA, crediting hours is a fiduciary function, independent of the payment of wages, necessary to determine participants participation vesting and accrual of rights.

- 122. As used in this Complaint, "mailed" means: (1) placing in any post office or authorized depository for mailed matter, any matter or thing to be delivered by the United States Postal Service; (2) causing to be deposited any matter or thing to be delivered by any private or commercial interstate carrier; (3) taking or receiving therefrom any such matter or thing; and/or (4) knowingly causing to be delivered by any such means any such matter.
- 123. Plaintiffs and Class Members allege that Defendants devised, intended to devise, and carried out a scheme to cheat Plaintiffs and Class Members out of their property and to convert Plaintiffs' and Class Members' property, including their wages and/or overtime pay (the "Scheme"). Defendants' Scheme consisted of illegally, willfully and systematically withholding or refusing to pay Plaintiffs and Class Members their regular or statutorily required rate of pay for all hours worked in violation of federal law, as described previously in this Complaint.
- 124. Defendants' Scheme involved the employment of material misrepresentations and/or omissions and other deceptive practices reasonably calculated to deceive Plaintiffs and Class Members. The Scheme involved depriving Plaintiffs and Class Members of their lawful entitlement to wages and overtime.
 - 125. In executing or attempting to execute the Scheme and to receive the financial

benefits of the Scheme, defendants repeatedly mailed payroll checks, either directly to Plaintiffs and Class Members or between defendants' business locations. These mailings occurred on a regular basis and more than 100 such mailings occurred in the last 10 years.

- 126. The payroll checks were false and deceptive because they mislead Plaintiffs and Class Members about the amount of wages to which they were entitled, as well as their status and rights under the FLSA. Plaintiffs and Class Members relied to their detriment on the misleading payroll checks that defendants mailed and those misleading documents were a proximate cause of Plaintiffs' and Class Members' injuries.
- 127. Defendants' predicate acts of mailing the misleading payroll checks in furtherance of their Scheme constitute a pattern of conduct unlawful pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1961(5) based upon both the relationship between the acts and continuity over the period of time of the acts. The relationship was reflected because the acts were connected to each other in furtherance of the Scheme. Continuity was reflected by both the repeated nature of the mailings during and in furtherance of the Scheme and the threat of similar acts occurring in the future. The threat was reflected by the continuing and ongoing nature of the acts.
- 128. Defendants' predicate acts were related, because they reflected the same purpose or goal (to retain wages and overtime pay due to Plaintiffs and Class Members for the economic benefit of defendants and members of the enterprise); results (retention of wages and overtime pay); participants (defendants and other members of the enterprise); victims (Plaintiffs and Class Members); and methods of commission (the Scheme and other acts described in the Complaint). The acts were interrelated and not isolated events, since they were carried out for the same purposes in a continuous manner over a substantial period of time.

- 129. At all relevant times, in connection with the Scheme, defendants acted with malice, intent, knowledge, and in reckless disregard of Plaintiffs' and Class Members' rights.
- 130. Each of the Plaintiffs and Class Members is a "person" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(3) and 1964.
- 131. Each defendant is a "person" within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(3) and 1962(c).
- 132. Defendants were members of an "enterprise" under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961(4) and 1962(a), which was engaged in or the activities of which affected interstate and foreign commerce.
- 133. Each defendant received income from a pattern of conduct unlawful under RICO, in which defendants participated through continuous instances of providing Plaintiffs and Class Members with misleading documents which defendants mailed and upon which Plaintiffs and Class Members relied to their detriment.
- 134. Plaintiffs and Class Members were injured in their business and property under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c) by reason of defendants' commission of conduct which was unlawful under RICO.
- 135. Plaintiffs and Class Members were not classified as exempt employees because hourly employees do not fall under one of the enumerated exemptions under the FLSA.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FLSA

- 136. Plaintiffs and Class Members reallege the above paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 137. Defendants willfully violated their obligations under the FLSA and are liable to Plaintiffs and Class Members.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION ERISA—Failure to Keep Accurate Records

- 138. Plaintiffs and Class Members reallege the above paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 139. Class Members bring these claims under 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3), which confers on plan participants the right to bring suit to enjoin any violation of ERISA § 1059(a)(1).
- 140. Defendants failed to keep accurate records of all time worked by Class Members. By failing to keep such records, defendants' records are legally insufficient to determine benefits. Defendants failed to keep records "sufficient to determine the benefits due or which may become due" under the terms of the Plan as required by ERISA § 209(a)(1), 29 U.S.C. § 1059(a)(1).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION ERISA—Breach of Fiduciary Duty

- 141. Plaintiffs and Class Members reallege the above paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
 - 142. Defendants breached their fiduciary duties under 29 U.S.C. § 1104(a)(1).

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION RICO

- 143. Plaintiffs and Class Members reallege the above paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 144. Plaintiffs and Class Members bring these claims under 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c), which confers on private individuals the right to bring suit for any injury caused by a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1962.

145. Defendants' conduct, and the conduct of other members of the enterprise, injured Plaintiffs and Class Members by refusing to pay their regular or statutorily required rate of pay for all hours worked. Defendants conducted or participated, directly or indirectly, in the conduct of the enterprise's affairs through a pattern of racketeering activity, by devising a Scheme to obtain Plaintiffs' and Class Members' property by means of false or fraudulent representations, at least some of which were made in the misleading payroll checks which defendants mailed.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION Estoppel

- 146. Plaintiffs and Class Members reallege the above paragraphs as if fully restated herein.
- 147. Defendants are estopped from asserting statute of limitations defenses against Plaintiffs and Class Members.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Class Members demand judgment against defendants in their favor and that they be given the following relief:

- (a) an order preliminarily and permanently restraining defendants from engaging in the aforementioned pay violations;
- (b) an award of the value of Plaintiffs' and Class Members unpaid wages, including fringe benefits;
- (c) liquidated damages under the FLSA equal to the sum of the amount of wages and overtime which were not properly paid to Plaintiffs and Class Members;
- (d) an award of reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, expert fees and costs incurred in vindicating Plaintiffs' and Class Members rights;
- (e) an award of pre- and post-judgment interest; and
- (f) such other and further legal or equitable relief as this Court deems to be just and appropriate.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a jury to hear and decide all issues of fact.

Dated: April 1, 2009

DOLIN, THOMAS & SOLOMON LLP

By:

J. Nelson Thomas, Esq. Justin M. Cordello, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs and Class Members

693 East Avenue

Rochester, New York 14607

Telephone: (585) 272-0540

nthomas@theemploymentattorneys.com jcordello@theemploymentattorneys.com